Resetting costs – capturing opportunities
London, 4 February 2016
Eldar Sætre, President and CEO
Safety first

Serious incident frequency
Serious incidents per million work-hours

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Delivering on promises

Efficiency

Promised

"step up efficiency programme to USD 1.7 billion in 2016"

Delivered

"step up efficiency programme"

Capex

Promised

"our investment programme for 2015 is reduced by USD 2 billion"

Delivered

Reduced by more than USD 5 billion

Production

Promised

"increase production by around 2% annually from 2014 to 2016"

Delivered

USD 1.9 billion in 2015

Distribution

Promised

"commitment to competitive capital distribution"

Delivered

Dividend maintained in 2015
Industry responding to market forces

The cyclical nature of oil
Brent, USD per barrel

Rebalancing of markets
Million barrels per day

Production postponed
Pre-FID, million barrels per day

Source: EIA, Short-Term Energy Outlook, January 2016

Source: Wood Mackenzie, Upstream Data Tool
Firm strategy to capture value in the upturn

Faster and deeper cost reductions
- Efficiency improvements and market effects
- Strict financial discipline

Preparing to invest in next-generation portfolio
- Radically improved break-evens
- Maintaining dividend, introducing scrip option

Capturing the upturn in oil and gas prices
- Sustained efficiency gains
- Significant new volumes 2018-2022
Measures to improve cash flow

Improved regularity\(^\text{1)}\)
Unplanned losses as percent of production

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Loss</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Stepping up efficiency
USD billion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Target @ CMU 2015</th>
<th>Delivered 2015</th>
<th>Step-up @ CMU 2016</th>
<th>New target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant capex flexibility
USD billion

- Sanctioned projects
- US onshore & capitalised exploration
- Non-sanctioned projects

- Additional reduction of USD 3.3 billion
- Additional flexibility of USD 4-6 billion

1) Norwegian Continental Shelf
Capturing value from next-generation portfolio

**Optimised portfolio**
Operated non-sanctioned projects starting up by 2022, weighted by volume

- **Break-even per barrel**
  - **2013**: $70 USD
  - **2016**: $41 USD

- **Capex**
  - **2013**: 29% below $50/boe
  - **2016**: 82% below $50/boe

**Production potential to 2022**
Production from non-sanctioned\(^1\) projects\(^2\), mboe/d

1) Non-sanctioned projects exclude exploration
2) Includes partner-operated projects

---

1) Non-sanctioned projects exclude exploration
2) Includes partner-operated projects
Commitment to shareholders

4Q dividend maintained at USD 0.2201 per share ¹)

Scrip dividend to be proposed to the AGM

- Two-year window from 4Q 2015
- Discount of 5% for 4Q 2015
- Norwegian government support for the scrip dividend ²)
- State ownership remains at 67%

Option to invest in a company with a high-quality portfolio

Strengthening flexibility to invest in high-value projects

Johan Sverdrup field centre

1) Subject to approval at the Annual General Meeting (AGM)
2) Subject to approval by the Norwegian Parliament
Providing energy for a low-carbon future

Carbon-efficient oil and gas producer
CO₂ intensity (kg CO₂/boe)

Gradually building a new energy business
• Leveraging core competence
• Key focus on offshore wind – industrial approach
• Exploring other energy sources
• Established New Energy Solutions (NES)

1) Excluding Snøhvit/Hammerfest LNG
Resetting costs – capturing opportunities

1. Faster and deeper cost reductions

2. Preparing to invest in next-generation portfolio

3. Capturing the upturn in oil and gas prices
Thank you
4th Quarter and Full Year 2015

London, 4 February 2016
Hans Jakob Hegge, Executive Vice President and CFO
### 2015 | Continued operational progress

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Earnings</th>
<th>Adjusted earnings of NOK 77.0 billion, negatively impacted by prices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production</td>
<td>6% YoY organic growth, driven by ramp-ups, efficiency and gas optimisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs</td>
<td>Adjusted opex and SG&amp;A down 13% YoY¹), continued downward trend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic capex</td>
<td>USD 14.7 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserves</td>
<td>88% organic RRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>265 million boe added from exploration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projects</td>
<td>Sanctioning of Johan Sverdrup. Material improvement in opportunity set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend</td>
<td>NOK 1.80 per share for 1Q, USD 0.2201 per share for each of 2Q, 3Q &amp; 4Q²)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹) Costs in each upstream segment are equally weighted in underlying main currency: USD for D&P International and NOK for D&P Norway.
²) The dividend for 4Q 2015 of USD 0.2201 /share subject to approval from the Annual General Meeting.
Financial results impacted by lower prices

**Full year 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOK billion</th>
<th>Net income</th>
<th>NOI Adjustments</th>
<th>NOI Adjusted earnings</th>
<th>NOI Tax on adj. earnings</th>
<th>NOI Adjusted earnings after tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full year 2015</td>
<td>(37.3)</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>77.0</td>
<td>(57.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fourth quarter 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOK billion</th>
<th>Net income</th>
<th>NOI Adjustments</th>
<th>NOI Adjusted earnings</th>
<th>NOI Tax on adj. earnings</th>
<th>NOI Adjusted earnings after tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fourth quarter 2015</td>
<td>(9.2)</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>(13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Full year 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOK billion</th>
<th>Net income</th>
<th>NOI Adjustments</th>
<th>NOI Adjusted earnings</th>
<th>NOI Tax on adj. earnings</th>
<th>NOI Adjusted earnings after tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full year 2014</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>109.5</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>136.1</td>
<td>(97.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fourth quarter 2014**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NOK billion</th>
<th>Net income</th>
<th>NOI Adjustments</th>
<th>NOI Adjusted earnings</th>
<th>NOI Tax on adj. earnings</th>
<th>NOI Adjusted earnings after tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fourth quarter 2014</td>
<td>(8.9)</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>(22.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reduced costs across the business

**D&P Norway**
- Strong operational performance with production efficiency >90%
- 17% reduction in adjusted opex and SG&A per boe
- DD&A per boe up 1% due to ramp up of new fields

**D&P International**
- Low prices lead to negative adjusted earnings
- Growing liquids production
- 22% reduction in adjusted opex and SG&A per boe in USD
- DD&A per boe reduced by 15% in USD\(^1\)

**MMP**
- Solid deliveries across the business
- Lower earnings in 4Q compared to very strong results in previous quarters
- Low tax rate on adjusted earnings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adj. earnings, NOK billion</th>
<th>Pre tax</th>
<th>After tax</th>
<th>Pre tax</th>
<th>After tax</th>
<th>Pre tax</th>
<th>After tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4Q’15</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>(5.7)</td>
<td>(6.1)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4Q’14</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>(2.8)</td>
<td>(5.0)</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2015</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>(12.2)</td>
<td>(15.0)</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY2014</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>29.1</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) DD&A per boe based on entitlement production
Strong production growth

- 6% organic growth YoY
- Sustained strong regularity on the NCS
- Optimising gas offtake on the NCS
- Growing international liquids production
Resilient cash flow at materially lower prices

NOK billion

- Tax paid partly reflecting 2014 results
- Proceeds from value-enhancing transactions
- Organic investments reduced due to efficiency and prioritisation
- Net debt to capital employed at year-end of 27%

1) Income before tax (4) + Non cash adjustments (161)
Johan Sverdrup adds to new reserves

- 88% organic RRR, 55% total RRR
  - 5% increase in liquid reserves
- 110% three-year average organic RRR
- Johan Sverdrup main contributor for new proved reserves
- Negative impact from lower commodity prices on proved reserves
- Stable resource base

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>Divestments</th>
<th>Discoveries, acquisitions and revisions</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
<td>(0.2)</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>(0.7)</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

billion boe
Resetting costs - capturing opportunities

London, 4 February 2016
Hans Jakob Hegge, Executive Vice President and CFO
Faster and deeper cost reductions

We have delivered ahead of time...
Percent improvement on selected activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>2013 baseline</th>
<th>Former 2016 target</th>
<th>Delivery 2015</th>
<th>New 2016 targets</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drilling time per offshore well</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-30% time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US onshore cost per boe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-45% cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility capex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-15% cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification capex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-40% cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field cost NCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-25% cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;6 pp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...and continue to raise the bar
USD billion

- Capital expenditure
- Operating expenses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Target @ CMU 2014</th>
<th>Step-up @ CMU 15</th>
<th>Delivered 2015</th>
<th>Step-up @ CMU 16</th>
<th>New target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drilling time</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>~50% step-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US onshore cost</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30% step-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility capex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modification capex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field cost NCS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Production wells
Turning efficiency improvement into money

### Further actions
- Renegotiating supplier contracts
- Implementing new actions to reduce upstream opex
- Stepping up organisational efficiency

### Cost competitive new production
- Johan Sverdrup ~30 NOK/boe\(^1\)
- Aasta Hansteen ~30 NOK/boe\(^1\)

---

**Unit production costs - ahead of peers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Unit Production Costs (USD per barrel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Adjusted upstream operating cost and SG&A**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>DPN (%)</th>
<th>DPI (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


1) Average unit production costs first five years after production start-up
Value over volume – flexible production growth

Very strong production growth in 2015
- Impact future growth rates
- Utilised high prices

Value over volume approach

Several major start-ups in 2018/19

**Equity production**  
mboe/d

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>US onshore and DPN flex gas</th>
<th>International excluding US onshore</th>
<th>DPN excluding flex gas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014†</td>
<td>1868</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>~1% CAGR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2145</td>
<td>~1% CAGR</td>
<td>2-4% CAGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Rebased 2014 of 1868 mboe/d is adjusted with 59 mboe/d for full year impact of transactions with Wintershall and Petronas
Radical change in our project portfolio

Non-sanctioned projects 2013 → 2016
Break-even oil price, USD/bbl
120

Improved break-even on operated portfolio
Assets starting up by 2022, USD/bbl, weighted by volume

Projects sanctioned since 2013
USD
39
USD
30

Non-sanctioned portfolio
USD
70
USD
41

2013
2016

Note: Left hand chart covers Statoil’s total non-sanctioned portfolio (operated and non-operated) where projects have been continued since 2013. All data and graphs cover projects with expected production start by end 2022.
Maintaining flexibility in an improved portfolio

Net cash flow neutral at $60/bbl in 2017 and $50/bbl in 2018, excluding impact of scrip programme

Well positioned to adapt to macro volatility

USD billion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sanctioned projects</th>
<th>US onshore &amp; capitalised expl.</th>
<th>Non-sanctioned projects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016/17</td>
<td>~2 bn</td>
<td>USD $70/bbl</td>
<td>USD $50/bbl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018/19</td>
<td>USD $50/bbl</td>
<td>USD $70/bbl</td>
<td>USD $90/bbl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net debt to capital employed

1) For illustrative purposes. Assumes 40% outtake rate for two-year scrip program 4Q15-3Q17

Note: The various scenarios for CFFO also imply different operational assumptions. The higher price scenarios assume lower utilisation of capex flexibility while the lower price scenarios assume larger utilisation of capex flexibility.
Firm strategy to capture value in the upturn

---

**Faster and deeper cost reductions**

- Step up efficiency target to **USD 2.5 billion** in 2016

---

**Preparing to invest in next-generation portfolio**

- Capex 2016: **USD ~13 billion**
- Average break-even of new portfolio at **USD 41 per barrel**

---

**Capturing the upturn in oil and gas prices**

- Organic growth: ~1% CAGR 2014-17, ~2-4% CAGR 2017-19
- Exploration 2016: **USD ~2 billion**
Thank you
Forward-looking statements

This presentation contains certain forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. In some cases, we use words such as “ambition”, “continue”, “could”, “estimate”, “expect”, “focus”, “likely”, “may”, “outlook”, “plan”, “strategy”, “will”, “guidance” and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. All statements other than statements of historical fact, including, among others, statements regarding future financial position, results of operations and cash flows; changes in the fair value of derivatives; future financial ratios and information; future financial or operational portfolio or performance; future market position and conditions; business strategy; growth strategy; future impact of accounting policy judgments; sales, trading and market strategies; research and development initiatives and strategy; projections and future impact related to efficiency programs, market outlook and future economic projections and assumptions; competitive position; projected regularity and performance levels; expectations related to our recent transactions and projects, completion and results of acquisitions, disposals and other contractual arrangements; reserve information; future margins; projected returns; future levels, timing or development of capacity, reserves or resources; future decline of mature fields; planned maintenance (and the effects thereof); oil and gas production forecasts and reporting; domestic and international growth, expectations and development levels and dates; operational expectations, estimates, schedules and costs; exploration and development activities, plans and expectations; projections and expectations for upstream and downstream activities; oil, gas, alternative fuel and energy prices; oil, gas, alternative fuel and energy supply and demand; natural gas contract prices; timing of gas off-take; technological innovation, implementation, position and expectations; projected operational costs or savings; projected unit of production cost; our ability to create or improve value; future sources of financing; exploration and project development expenditure; effectiveness of our internal policies and plans; our ability to manage our risk exposure; our liquidity levels and management; estimated or future liabilities, obligations or expenses and how such liabilities, obligations and expenses are structured; expected impact of currency and interest rate fluctuations; expectations related to contractual or financial counterparties; capital expenditure estimates and expectations; projected outcome, objectives of management for future operations; impact of PSA effects; projected impact or timing of administrative or governmental rules, standards, decisions, standards or laws (including taxation laws); estimated costs of removal and abandonment; estimated lease payments, gas transport commitments and future impact of legal proceedings are forward-looking statements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements for many reasons.

These forward-looking statements reflect current views about future events and are, by their nature, subject to significant risks and uncertainties because they relate to events and depend on circumstances that will occur in the future. There are a number of factors that could cause actual results and developments to differ materially from those expressed or implied by these forward-looking statements, including levels of industry product supply, demand and pricing; price and availability of alternative fuels; currency exchange rate and interest rate fluctuations; the political and economic policies of Norway and other oil-producing countries; EU directives; general economic conditions; political and social stability and economic growth in relevant areas of the world; the sovereign debt situation in Europe; global political events and actions, including war, terrorism and sanctions; security breaches; situation in Ukraine; changes or uncertainty in or non-compliance with laws and governmental regulations; the timing of bringing new fields on stream; an inability to exploit growth or investment opportunities; material differences from reserves estimates; unsuccessful drilling; an inability to find and develop reserves; ineffectiveness of crisis management systems; adverse changes in tax regimes; the development and use of new technology; geological or technical difficulties; operational problems; operator error; inadequate insurance coverage; the lack of necessary transportation infrastructure when a field is in a remote location and other transportation problems; the actions of competitors; the actions of field partners; the actions of governments (including the Norwegian state as majority shareholder); counterparty defaults; natural disasters and adverse weather conditions, climate change, and other changes to business conditions; an inability to attract and retain personnel; relevant governmental approvals; industrial actions by workers and other factors discussed elsewhere in this report. Additional information, including information on factors that may affect Statoil’s business, is contained in Statoil’s Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2014, filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, which can be found on Statoil’s website at www.statoil.com.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that our future results, level of activity, performance or achievements will meet these expectations. Moreover, neither we nor any other person assumes responsibility for the accuracy and completeness of the forward-looking statements. Unless we are required by law to update these statements, we will not necessarily update any of these statements after the date of this report, either to make them conform to actual results or changes in our expectations.
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Investing for profitable growth

Investment profile 2016-17

- 55% in NCS
- 65% in operated assets
- 50% in new assets
- 90% upstream related

1) Producing assets Including IOR
Sensitivities\(^1\) - Indicative effects on 2016 results

The sensitivity analysis shows the estimated 12 months effect of changes in parameters.

The change in parameters do not have the same probability.

- **Oil price:** + USD 10/bbl
  - Net income effect: 1.4 USD bn
  - Net operating income effect: 3.0 USD bn

- **Gas price:** + USD 1.7/mmBtu
  - Net income effect: 1.1 USD bn
  - Net operating income effect: 2.9 USD bn

- **Exchange rate:** USDNOK +0.50
  - Net income effect: 0.2 USD bn
  - Net operating income effect: 0.8 USD bn

---

\(^1\) The sensitivity analysis shows the estimated 12 months effect of change in parameters. The change in parameters do not have the same probability.
Long-term debt maturity profile

Redemption profile 31.12.2015